

-----Original Message-----

From: Wendy Burgower <wendy@brfamilylaw.com>
To: trip888@aol.com; LovettM@gtlaw.com; rkuehm@williamskherkher.com
Sent: Wed, Mar 3, 2010 8:42 am
Subject: RE: Consent

Wally, if I thought for one minute you were sincere, I would respond. If you had approached me without filing such untrue and inflammatory statements in a vile pleading and asked to see the email, I would have gladly shown it to you. But you decided to let Dan be your spokes person, you decided to be his *lapdog* and would not stand up to him. So, I will not show you the email because of the precedent – it is my work product and more important, the amicus is not in the same position as the other attorneys. Again, you have made this personal. You have adopted the client. So, I can not trust that your email is from the heart and I am so very sorry for that

Wendy S. Burgower
Burgower & Rainwater, L.L.P
3355 W. Alabama, Suite 825
Houston, Tx 77098
(713) 529-3982

From: trip888@aol.com [<mailto:trip888@aol.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, March 02, 2010 8:22 PM
To: LovettM@gtlaw.com; rkuehm@williamskherkher.com; wendy@brfamilylaw.com; Trip888@aol.com
Subject: Re: Consent

Mary Olga, Wendy and Robert,
You all have my consent to speak with Dan regarding the Motion To Recuse the judge. I cannot consent to you having the right to discuss all aspects of this lawsuit. He is choosing to present the Motion to Recuse.
Robert you seem to feel he cannot do that but I am not sure what rule would say he cannot.
I agree with Mary Olga that the recusal must be heard first and if you disagree with that Wendy let me know.
Mary Olga if you have filed a Motion to disqualify me I have not seen it and would like a copy as soon as possible.
Wendy, I am not sure what you are saying I do not get but am more than willing to learn. I still would like a copy of the October 22nd e-mail as soon as possible.
It does not seem it can be work product and I do not know of any reason it should not be provided to all counsel.
Best regards,
Wally

-----Original Message-----

From: LovettM@gtlaw.com
To: Trip888@aol.com
Cc: rkuehm@williamskherkher.com; wendy@brfamilylaw.com
Sent: Tue, Mar 2, 2010 7:39 pm
Subject: Consent

Do you consent to our direct communication with your client regarding Cause No. 2003-67714, yes or no?

Tax Advice Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS under Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments), unless otherwise specifically stated, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (1) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any matters addressed herein.

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to postmaster@gtlaw.com.