

-----Original Message-----

From: Wendy Burgower <wendy@brfamilylaw.com>

To: trip888@aol.com

Sent: Wed, Mar 24, 2010 8:41 am

Subject: RE: well

Wally, the “issue” is that dan is more consumed in proving that I am a bad attorney and that I should get off his case and that judge hellums is wrong and she should step down that he no longer seems interested in Brisa! He has filed over 4 grievances on me, maybe two on Ms. Lovett and they are absurd. He is losing his focus as this is not about me or mo or judge hellums. You cannot resolve any issue. I am not Theresa taylor and you will not be able to get me to step down because I am “worried or afraid” of my work. That is how you ‘resolved’ the last amicus problem and it will not work with me. The problem on your end is that you have purposely tried to stay “out” of the loop and keep taking the position that you are not responsible for the filings by Dan. The grievance filings are only one aspect, now he is filing crap on the case in chief and signing on it as if he has a law degree. You are just wrong on this issue that Dan can file motions and you are not “part of that motion” as you cannot pick and choose what portions of the case you are responsible for so I suggest you “get in the loop” and at the very least find out what your client is filing in court and everywhere else.

At the end of the day, I will be on this case, and Judge hellums will be on this case. So why don’t you start getting back into the case and get it ready for trial. There is now a motion for Dan to have a complete psychiatric evaluation. I have spoken with Dr. Gordon and he is (as most clinicians would be) of the mind that some testing that would deal with organic issues would be helpful. This would include taking blood and such from Dan. I am not opposed to having both parties undergo the complete psychiatric evaluation, but again, as long as Dan keeps filing motions to get new judges, new amicus and other dilatory actions, this case does not move. So, we do not need to talk You however, may find this all gets better if you would perhaps consider finding out what Dan files now (even without your signature) and start working on the case.

I have no idea if the grievance committee will consider Dan’s new filings to be worthy of any formal response. The grievances were not sent to me by the committee but hand delivered with a “smirk” by Dan to my office on Friday afternoon. Yes, this is a new pattern—“dropping” off his stuff to me on Friday afternoons.

I also want to remind you that you NEVER called and asked me to see the Oct 22 email. You just had a process server come to my office at 4:00 pm on a Friday afternoon and serve me a subpoena. So again, you started this by letting Dan orchestrate the case *rather than being a professional and handling the issue yourself*

Wendy S. Burgower

Burgower & Rainwater, L.L.P

3355 W. Alabama, Suite 825

Houston, Tx 77098

(713) 529-3982

From: trip888@aol.com [<mailto:trip888@aol.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2010 7:01 PM

To: wendy@brfamilylaw.com

Subject: Re: well

Wendy,

I will do what I can but I am not in the loop on the whole situation and you are asking me about things that I know little or nothing about. My suggestion would be we talk and I will see if I am able to resolve any of the issues.

Wally

-----Original Message-----

From: Wendy Burgower <wendy@brfamilylaw.com>

To: trip888@aol.com

Sent: Tue, Mar 23, 2010 9:06 am

Subject: RE: well

Wally, this is so out of control. When are you going to do something?

Wendy S. Burgower

Burgower & Rainwater, L.L.P

3355 W. Alabama, Suite 825

Houston, Tx 77098

(713) 529-3982

From: trip888@aol.com [<mailto:trip888@aol.com>]

Sent: Monday, March 22, 2010 7:08 PM

To: wendy@brfamilylaw.com

Subject: Re: well

Wendy,

You have my permission to communicate with Dan and have had it from the beginning of the case.

Wally

-----Original Message-----

From: Wendy Burgower <wendy@brfamilylaw.com>

To: Trip888@aol.com

Sent: Mon, Mar 22, 2010 10:19 am

Subject: well

Wally, your client delivered to me two new grievances that he has filed – the one that is interesting is he now claims that my direct communications with him were violations of 4.02. you have never rescinded your authorization that I communicate with your client. So, please formally clarify your position.

Wendy S. Burgower

Burgower & Rainwater, L.L.P

3355 W. Alabama, Suite 825

Houston, Tx 77098

(713) 529-3982

