

## Dan Gawlikowski

---

**From:** trip888@aol.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 07, 2010 6:58 PM  
**To:** rkuehm@williamskherkher.com  
**Subject:** Re: Sikes~Gawlikowski Witnesses for Hearing

Robert,

I am really not sure I understand your complaint. I intend to swear my witnesses to return to avoid the cost and hassle of issuing yet another subpoena. It is done all the time when cases are reset. I do know who was subpoenaed which is why I asked if you had a concern about a particular person and wanted to agree to produce them at the future date. Short of that I need to have them sworn to reappear. While it may seem clear to you that your client is always right in this lawsuit, my client sees it differently. If we are going to litigate the issues you outlined in your letter requesting temporary orders in this lawsuit I will be forced to bring the witnesses that support my position. Sorry you do not see that.

Wally

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert C. Kuehm <rkuehm@williamskherkher.com>  
To: trip888@aol.com  
Cc: wendy@brfamilylaw.com; LovettM@gtlaw.com  
Sent: Thu, Jan 7, 2010 4:36 pm  
Subject: RE: Sikes~Gawlikowski Witnesses for Hearing

Wally

I don't know all the people you have subpoenaed. All I know is there are teachers and school officials that have to make arrangements for substitutes.

Seems like that deserves a phone call. I assume you know how many unless Dan handled this as he has in the past. You are the one that file a motion to recuse Wendy and also asking for modification of Custody and access. Surely you remember you already once lost that motion to change access. Anyone else on this chain is welcome to put in their two cents.

Robert

---

**From:** [trip888@aol.com](mailto:trip888@aol.com) [<mailto:trip888@aol.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 07, 2010 4:28 PM  
**To:** Robert C. Kuehm  
**Subject:** Re: Sikes~Gawlikowski Witnesses for Hearing

Robert,

While I appreciate your concern, I am really just trying to get the witnesses there and put on call. I realize you have great concern for the witnesses, and so do I, but I was not the one who set this hearing on top of my setting and I was unable to move my other settings. If there is a specific witness you are concerned about? Let me know and I will do all i can to accommodate?

Wally

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert C. Kuehm <[rkuehm@williamskherkher.com](mailto:rkuehm@williamskherkher.com)>  
To: Walter Mahoney <[Trip888@aol.com](mailto:Trip888@aol.com)>  
Cc: [wendy@brfamilylaw.com](mailto:wendy@brfamilylaw.com); [LovettM@gtlaw.com](mailto:LovettM@gtlaw.com); Robert I. Kuehm <[rkuehmjr@williamskherkher.com](mailto:rkuehmjr@williamskherkher.com)>; Robert C. Kuehm <[rkuehm@williamskherkher.com](mailto:rkuehm@williamskherkher.com)>  
Sent: Thu, Jan 7, 2010 4:16 pm  
Subject: Sikes~Gawlikowski Witnesses for Hearing

Dear Wally,

Rob told me that you called the office and confirmed that you were calling the Court and resetting your hearing for tomorrow to January 22. However, I was shocked to hear that you were making all of the witnesses you subpoenaed show up and to get re-sworn. You were the one that filed a notice of conflict but you are still making all these people come down tomorrow morning. These are teachers and other professionals. Where I don't represent these people I clearly think you and Dan should be sanctioned for this behavior. At some point a lawyer has to do what is right and not what a client orders him or her to do. Your choice and your reputation.

Robert C. Kuehm  
Robert C. Kuehm, P.C.  
8441 Gulf Freeway, Suite 600  
Houston, Texas 77017  
(713) 861-6166  
(713) 230-2221 facsimile  
[rkuehm@williamskherkher.com](mailto:rkuehm@williamskherkher.com)

This message is confidential. It may also be privileged or otherwise protected by work product immunity or other legal rules. If you have received it by mistake, please let us know by e-mail reply and delete it from your system; you may not copy this message or disclose its contents to anyone. Please send us by fax any message containing deadlines as incoming e-mails are not screened for response deadlines. The integrity and security of this message cannot be guaranteed on the Internet.