

Dan Gawlikowski

From: trip888@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, January 07, 2010 7:15 PM
To: wendy@brfamilylaw.com
Cc: lazasister@mahoneylawfirm.com
Subject: Re: Sikes~Gawlikowski Witnesses for Hearing

Wendy,

I am more than willing to accommodate any witness willing to sign an agreement or to just be placed on call and have done that. If you guys know of somebody willing to do that my cell is 713-823-9941. I have made this option available to every witness that has called and yes Wendy I know how to do that and would do it the same way in this case or any other case I handle. Not sure where your getting your information but if you talk to me I will tell you what I am thinking and doing.

Wally

-----Original Message-----

From: wendy@brfamilylaw.com
To: Robert Kuehm <rkuehm@williamskherkher.com>; Walter Mahoney <Trip888@aol.com>
Cc: Mary-Olga Lovett <lovettm@gtlaw.com>
Sent: Thu, Jan 7, 2010 4:52 pm
Subject: Re: Sikes~Gawlikowski Witnesses for Hearing

Wally you know exactly what the right thing to do iss. On all other cases you would contact the witness and get their signed agreement to reappear. But again you don't handle this case like others
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

From: "Robert C. Kuehm" <rkuehm@williamskherkher.com>
Date: Thu, 7 Jan 2010 16:36:59 -0600
To: <trip888@aol.com>
Cc: <wendy@brfamilylaw.com>; <LovettM@gtlaw.com>
Subject: RE: Sikes~Gawlikowski Witnesses for Hearing

Wally

I don't know all the people you have subpoenaed. All I know is there are teachers and school officials that have to make arrangements for substitutes.
Seems like that deserves a phone call. I assume you know how many unless Dan handled this as he has in the past. You are the one that file a motion to recuse Wendy and also asking for modification of Custody and access. Surely you remember you already once lost that motion to change access. Anyone else on this chain is welcome to put in their two cents.

Robert

From: trip888@aol.com [<mailto:trip888@aol.com>]
Sent: Thursday, January 07, 2010 4:28 PM
To: Robert C. Kuehm
Subject: Re: Sikes~Gawlikowski Witnesses for Hearing

Robert,

While I appreciate your concern, I am really just trying to get the witnesses there and put on call. I realize you have great concern for the witnesses, and so do I , but I was not the one who set this hearing on top of my setting and I was unable to move my other settings. If there is a specific witness you are concerned about? Let me know and I will do all i can to accommodate?

Wally

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert C. Kuehm <rkuehm@williamskherkher.com>

To: Walter Mahoney <Trip888@aol.com>

Cc: wendy@brfamilylaw.com; LovettM@gtlaw.com; Robert I. Kuehm <rkuehmjr@williamskherkher.com>; Robert C. Kuehm <rkuehm@williamskherkher.com>

Sent: Thu, Jan 7, 2010 4:16 pm

Subject: Sikes~Gawlikowski Witnesses for Hearing

Dear Wally,

Rob told me that you called the office and confirmed that you were calling the Court and resetting your hearing for tomorrow to January 22. However, I was shocked to hear that you were making all of the witnesses you subpoenaed show up and to get re-sworn. You were the one that filed a notice of conflict but you are still making all these people come down tomorrow morning. These are teachers and other professionals. Where I don't represent these people I clearly think you and Dan should be sanctioned for this behavior. At some point a lawyer has to do what is right and not what a client orders him or her to do. Your choice and your reputation.

Robert C. Kuehm
Robert C. Kuehm, P.C.
8441 Gulf Freeway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77017
(713) 861-6166
(713) 230-2221 facsimile
rkuehm@williamskherkher.com

This message is confidential. It may also be privileged or otherwise protected by work product immunity or other legal rules. If you have received it by mistake, please let us know by e-mail reply and delete it from your system; you may not copy this message or disclose its contents to anyone. Please send us by fax any message containing deadlines as incoming e-mails are not screened for response deadlines. The integrity and security of this message cannot be guaranteed on the Internet.