

-----Original Message-----

From: LovettM@gtlaw.com

To: trip888@aol.com

Cc: rkuehm@williamskherkher.com; rkuehmjr@williamskherkher.com; wendy@brfamilylaw.com

Sent: Wed, Jan 13, 2010 11:04 am

Subject: RE:

Wally,

Apologies for the delayed response as I had a multi-day injunction hearing scheduled out of town. Please be advised of the following:

1. I am opposed to you arguing form or substance of the order at the hearing; the court of appeals was very clear in its order that the judge simply remove the sixteen words referenced in the January 4 memorandum opinion. The court of appeals did not authorize any other change, and moreover, the court is under a specific order to follow the opinion or face issuance of a writ. I will therefore forward a copy of the court's opinion to the court along with the revised order, with a copy to you. If Judge Hellums wishes to set the order for entry, she can do so, but if not, we will simply be following the court of appeals' direction and ensuring that a writ of mandamus does not issue due to any perceived delay in compliance.
2. I will not produce Camellia. I have no idea why she would be subpoenaed to testify at the January 22 hearing. She has not cared for Brisa full time since 2004, if memory serves, and cared for her part-time (for maybe a total of two weeks) approximately two years ago. She is listed with a person with knowledge of relevant facts because you all listed her for the trial, but she has nothing to offer at this hearing based on these pleadings. You have not articulated any purpose for her testimony and I will move to quash any subpoena you serve and seek sanctions. Frankly, I think that the only reason she is being subpoenaed is because your client knows that she works exclusively for me and my husband, and this is his way of harassing me personally. (Given his misuse of subpoena power to obtain Sagan Sikes' school records and my client's Houstonian billing information, his monitoring of Ms. Burgower's Facebook page, and his intrusion into other places where he has no business, this is not surprising, but I will not permit it.) Camellia is a hard-working, honest taxpayer, and does not deserve your client's harassment because she has the misfortune to work for someone who has exposed your client as a liar.
3. I have heard nothing from you regarding whether or not you and Dan will agreed to make payment (or at least payment arrangements) by February 18. If I do not hear from you by the close of business today, I will assume that you have no interest and will abstract the judgments for execution.

Regards,
Mary-Olga Lovett

Mary-Olga Lovett
Co-Managing Shareholder
Greenberg Traurig LLP | 1000 Louisiana Street | Suite 1700 | Houston, TX 77002
Tel 713.374.3541 | Fax 713.754.7541 | Cell 713.553.1882
LovettM@gtlaw.com | www.gtlaw.com